| 1 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
|----|---|
| 2 | FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO |
| 3 | INTERD CHARRES OF AMERICA |
| 4 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)) |
| 5 | Plaintiff,) Case No: 12-CR-728 (PG) |
| 6 | vs.) SENTENCING HEARING) |
| 7 | BETSIAN CARRASQUILLO-PENALOZA,)) |
| 8 | Defendant.) |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING HEARING HELD BEFORE |
| 12 | THE HONORABLE JUDGE JUAN M. PEREZ-GIMENEZ Monday, July 7, 2014 |
| 13 | Moliday, Udiy /, 2014 |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | APPEARANCES |
| 17 | |
| 18 | For the United States: |
| 19 | Ms. Evelyn Canals-Lozada, AUSA Mr. Marshal D. Morgan, AUSA |
| 20 | |
| 21 | For the Defendant: |
| 22 | Ms. Joannie Plaza-Martinez, AFPD |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

```
1
                 (PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED AT 1:50 P.M.)
 2
 3
                 THE CLERK: Criminal Case No. 12-728, United
       States of America versus Betsian Carrasquillo-Penaloza,
 4
 5
       cased called for Sentencing Hearing.
                 On behalf of the Government, Assistant U.S.
 6
       Attorneys Evelyn Canals-Lozada and Marshal D. Morgan.
 7
                 On behalf of the Defendant, Assistant Federal
 8
       Public Defender Joannie Plaza-Martinez.
 9
10
                 Defendant is present and will be assisted by the
11
       court interpreter.
12
                 THE COURT: Are the parties ready?
13
                 MS. PLAZA-MARTINEZ: Yes, Your Honor. Good
14
       afternoon.
15
                 MR. MORGAN: We are ready.
                 MS. PLAZA-MARTINEZ: Your Honor, may I address the
16
       Court from the Defense table?
17
                 THE COURT: Yes.
18
19
                 MS. PLAZA-MARTINEZ: Thank you.
20
                 Your Honor, I understand that the sentencing had
21
       been already started. And at the previous hearing, I had
2.2
       asked the Court, since the Government was going to present
23
       and they did present a video, that they allocute and argue
       for sentencing before us, and then I was allowed to do it
24
25
       last. And the Court, I think, said okay.
```

1 THE COURT: Yes. 2 MS. PLAZA-MARTINEZ: So, nonetheless, I filed a 3 motion in docket 1273 asking for a hearing challenging the Government's failure to file a motion for a downward 4 5 departure for substantial cooperation and reports for 6 hearing. 7 Is the Court going to address that motion right now? 8 9 THE COURT: I am going to address it, but I want 10 to know why you make such allegations. 11 MS. PLAZA-MARTINEZ: Your Honor, may this portion 12 of the sentencing hearing be sealed and the public in the 13 courtroom be excluded because of the things that we are 14 going to discuss. 15 MR. MORGAN: Your Honor, may we just approach? 16 THE COURT: Yes. 17 MS. PLAZA-MARTINEZ: Okay. 18 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were held at sidebar:) 19 20 MS. PLAZA-MARTINEZ: Thank you. 21 Your Honor, in this case, the Government had filed 22 a previous motion. Although it was not specifically 23 addressed with the Government's motion when they opposed 24 continuing sentencing hearing and the representations made in the motion, I took them as Government's notice that they 25

were not going to file a 5K motion.

2.2

The Court is aware that the Defendant has signed a plea and cooperation agreement in this case. Those things are not in dispute but some that I will be briefing.

One of them is that the Defendant was debriefed on two occasions and that she gave others. She was charged with and she pled guilty to and she also provided additional information about other criminal acts.

The Government's motion, when they -- the motion I take as the Government's -- of not filing a 5K motion, they say that although they considered the Defendant gave information, they understand, because the passage of time, that the information was not of use or of little use for the Government, although they didn't say that the Defendant was undeserving of a 5K motion.

I filed my motion, and I know that the threshold is very high for me because I have to make a substantial threshold for the Court to -- to put the Government in the position to explain why they have not filed a 5K motion.

And in my motion, what I tell the Court,
basically, is that I understand that the information that
the Defendant provided, it's of a lot of use. It's not as
the Government represented, of little use, even given on the
first debriefing, which was several months after she had
been arrested, and the second debriefing, which took place

in this year, 2014.

2.2

More specifically, I think that the more substantial information she gave was concerning another participant of this crime who was present and in the parking lot of the hotel where my client was arrested.

And although the Government is put in the position -- they have stated the position that they understand that, as far as I know, they are not going to do anything about that information, I understand that my client complied with her part of the bargain, and she gave information. She was willing to be a witness for the Grand Jury and testify against this individual, who we understand is a participant of the charged crime.

The Government's position -- and I believe their explanation under the motion about why they think that information is useless, I think that actually contravenes the language of the case that I cited in my motion, which is the case of U.S. versus --

THE COURT: -- Nelson Rodriquez.

MS. PLAZA-MARTINEZ: And in that case --

THE COURT: That was my case.

MS. PLAZA-MARTINEZ: I understand that.

One of the reasons the Court may hold the Government up to its position or to the contract obligation is if the prosecutorial choice is not rationally related to

the legitimate Government objective.

2.2

I understand that though it is not rationally related, that the choice the Government not to do anything with the information that the Defendant provided is not rationally related to any legitimate Government.

On the contrary, I think it is the Government's objective to prosecute anyone who is involved in the exploitation of minors. And if they have a person who is going to be a witness, to bring to justice someone who is participating, I think it is a legitimate Government business.

And the fact that my client is willing to assist the Government in that position, I think that it just shows that there is no valid reason for the Government not to do anything with that information and just say that because of the passage of time, they are not willing to do anything about that.

That would be our position, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Not only that, but with my recollection of reading the papers that were filed with the Court prior to pleading guilty, your client was seen with that gentleman driving the car. So the Government knew that.

You make a lot of emphasis of the fact that the daughter was willing to cooperate and give names and phone

2.2

numbers, but that's for the daughter. Not for the mother.

And the case that you cite, <u>Rodriquez</u>, it specifically says substantial assistance is a higher standard for the Defendant to meet than mere cooperation.

I assume that what the Government is telling the Court, that there was mere cooperation on the part of your client, who gives a number of the person who go there with her daughter and the other individuals. They are minors. The Government knew about that. That she was willing to testify against them, that is cooperation. But I don't think it goes up to the standard of substantial cooperation in this case.

Aside from what you have stated that she told the agents, I don't see that that is substantial at all.

There is no issue here that it was true or not true. The Government is not relying on truthfulness. It's just that I don't -- I find that there is no unconstitutional reason involved here for not providing her with a substantial assistance motion, but I will hear from Mr. Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: I agree with everything that you are saying. And, really, just for purposes of the record -- and this is so you can tell your client this also -- you know, we are not taking this case personally. And we don't -- we are not out to get your client at all.

1 On the contrary, I think that we have shown good 2 faith since the very beginning in wanting to -- wanting her to be able to provide us the information. I think at the 3 end of the day, however, it just didn't come to fruition. 4 There is no bad faith in us withholding the 5K. 5 It is just that when it comes down to the case, the passage 6 of time does affect the ability for us to use the 7 information. 8 9 But in addition to that, and without getting into 10 the specifics, there wasn't much that we could do, actually, 11 with the information. 12 It's not a question of us choosing not to use the 13 information. It is an inability to use the information that 14 she gave to us. 15 I just want that to be clear. MS. PLAZA-MARTINEZ: Submitted, Your Honor. 16 MR. MORGAN: The truth is that we would 17 18 love -- it's very rare that we allow, except in cooperation 19 cases of sex trafficking and transportation -- given her 20 position at the time, we were hoping that it could be more 21 fruitful. But, I mean, at the end of the day, I am 2.2 disappointed that we weren't able to get more information. 23 THE COURT: Even in your own motion, at page 5, 24 you state -- the Government's motion -- that at the time of

the first debriefing, they were fairly aware of the

25

2.2

co-participant, of her knowledge regarding prostitution of minors but that, due to the passage of time, there was little to nothing that the agents could do to make an arrest or even continue with the investigation.

MS. PLAZA-MARTINEZ: That is the Government's position, and I disagree precisely because they knew who she was, and she was under immigration investigation.

I think they had information and available witnesses, and they were available also to arrest that person, who was never arrested, as far as we know.

THE COURT: The Government had their reasons.

Maybe it's an undercover agent. They felt they didn't have enough to charge that other person.

But, in any event, throughout the time that I have had this case, and ever since the beginning, I gather from what the Government has stated to the Court and in its motions that, yes, she did cooperate to some extent but that that is not a substantial cooperation as required by section 5K1.1. And, therefore, your motion is denied.

I find that there is no bad faith on the part of the Government.

As a matter of fact, I think it was the Government who actually reluctantly gave the second debriefing, because at the first debriefing, there was really not that much information that was received that the Government could

```
1
       really use to file a 5K1.1.
 2
                 We had to continue the sentencing.
 3
                 MR. MORGAN: That is a true statement, now that my
       memory comes back.
 4
                 THE COURT: So your motion is denied.
 5
                 MS. PLAZA-MARTINEZ: Very well.
 6
                 (Back on the record.)
 7
                 MS. PLAZA-MARTINEZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
 8
 9
                 Therefore, now that the Government has disposed --
10
       the Court has disposed of our outstanding motion in
11
       docket 72, I will ask then that the Government finish their
12
       presentation in argument, and if I may ask to go last for
13
       sentencing purposes.
14
                 Since they already presented the video, I wanted
       not to bifurcate our proceedings. And since the Defendant
15
16
       is the one facing punishment, I ask the Court's leniency
       allowing me to go last before the Government.
17
18
                 THE COURT: At this time I am asking you again,
19
       have you read the pre-sentence report?
20
                 MS. PLAZA-MARTINEZ: I have, Your Honor.
21
                 THE COURT: And have you explained to your client
2.2
       the information contained in the pre-sentence report?
                 MS. PLAZA-MARTINEZ: I have, Your Honor.
23
24
                 THE COURT: Ms. Carrasquillo, did your attorney
25
       explain to you the information contained in the pre-sentence
```

```
1
       report?
 2
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
 3
                 THE COURT: Is there any information in that
       report that needs to be corrected?
 4
 5
                 THE DEFENDANT:
                                 No.
                 THE COURT: Any from the Government?
 6
 7
                 MR. MORGAN: No. None, Your Honor.
                 THE COURT: Now you want the Government to go
 8
 9
       first, and then you will make your allocution later on?
10
                 MS. PLAZA-MARTINEZ: Yes, Your Honor.
11
                 THE COURT: All right.
12
                 MS. PLAZA-MARTINEZ: Thank you.
                 MR. MORGAN: Your Honor, there isn't much that we
13
14
       really need to add. The Court saw the videos last time.
15
                 We recognize in the pre-sentence report that the
16
       guidelines came out to something less than the 10 years, and
       the 10 years is the mandatory minimum in this case. And we
17
18
       think that that's apropos, all things considered, and we
19
       stand by our recommendation in the plea agreement.
20
                 THE COURT: Ms. Plaza.
21
                 MS. PLAZA-MARTINEZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
2.2
                 As part of my argument, I want to adopt the
23
       information that I filed with the Court in writing.
24
       Specifically, I am talking about docket number 66 and its
25
       attachments, and also the addendum of the diagnostic
```

2.2

examination that I filed with the court in docket 71, with its attachment, which is the addendum, which is attachment 1 of docket 71.

As I stated in my sentencing memo, which is basically a little history of this Defendant, Betsian Carrasquillo, I think that to understand someone's behavior, you cannot look at it in the abstract.

It's important to understand what made my client become the person she is today, being 35 years old. And I think both my memorandum and the attachment, including Dr. Margarida's narrow diagnostic evaluation and the addendum that she filed after she had viewed the video that the Court observed at the last hearing in May, it's quite --- I would say it's quite sad but kind of logical to see why Bestian was involved in the crime she committed.

And going from the pre-sentence report, at the last page, comments made by the probation officer, saying that it is commonly universal -- it's none universally that mothers and fathers protect their kids, that it's expected for them to protect them, and when they are not protecting them, we are enraged. We cannot understand it or tolerate it.

And this is exactly why Betsian is here, because she is an adult now, but she was a victim herself.

It's not a surprise that Bestian was abused by her

2.2

father when she was five years old, abused by her stepfather, and by other adult males when she was still a child.

One of them, who assisted my client in becoming a prostitute when she was just a girl, is still walking the Loiza Streets here in Puerto Rico. He has never been taken to court, has never been charged with anything. And this man, like many others, took advantage of my client when she was a girl.

So although she appears to be a monster here today, she was a victim too. And where was the system when she needed it most?

Luckily, although it's sad to see that she is facing jail time, it's because of this case that I believe the cycle is going to stop. Because she was a victim, then she became the abuser. We don't want her daughter, who is here in court, to go through that same cycle.

We want Bestian to be the mother she has to be, the mother her daughter deserves to have, but we cannot just condemn her for her acts and fail to understand why does a person like her become the person she is.

And it was going through those meetings with Dr. Margarida, somebody who has the training and experience to deal with a person like her. Bestian comes out very, very strong-minded, comes out tough, hard to talk to. But

2.2

it was then in those meetings with Dr. Margarida where she wept and cried, going back to her childhood, thinking of the things that she had to endure, not understanding that she was turning her daughter into herself.

As Dr. Margarida recommends in her report, Bestian needs drug addiction and alcohol treatment. Why? Because she was a drug user and abuser when she was a child. That's part of the system she created to cope with the sexual abuse she endured when she was a child.

She was offered drugs by her own family members, the people who were supposed to protect her. She has been an addict for years, an alcohol abuser as well, as the video shows, and she also needs mental health treatment, psychotherapy, because we need to make sure that this family gets better.

Giving her jail time is not going to resolve the problem by itself because that daughter is never going to stop being my client's daughter. She is never going to stop being her mother.

And the love they share, even as twisted as it may seem, it is not going to end just because my client committed a crime, she was prosecuted, she plead guilty, she is sentenced, and she goes to jail. We need to help her and her daughter and all the family members who have been affected and abused and who are part of the cycle.

2.2

I understand that this is the beginning of -- she is 35 years old, but there is a long way to go, and she can become that mother.

I ask the Court to consider her background. The Court cannot sentence my client for less than 10 years, unfortunately. It's a mandatory minimum. Otherwise, I would be asking the Court for less, but please do not consider sentencing her to any higher term of imprisonment.

Also, consider as part of the mitigating factors the information that, although it was not sufficient to move the Government to file a motion under section 5K1.2, it is still something that mitigates her punishment.

We also want the Court to consider her medical condition. My client is in a wheelchair today because she has many conditions. One of them, which has not been able to be detected or determined, is giving her severe pain on one of her sides. She has been under medication since Thursday.

We ask the Court to also recommend to BOP, as part of her term of imprisonment, to give her the medical attention she needs, starting today.

We ask the Court -- although she is supposed to follow procedures, ask for medical services, she has been doing that. She is in pain. We ask the Court to please urge BOP to give her the medical attention she needs.

2.2

We also the ask the Court to recommend that she be sentenced -- she be designated to Coleman Institution. Why? Because we want her to be in Florida, which is the closest to Puerto Rico. She has a brother up in Pennsylvania, but that's up north and cold. And because of her medical conditions, I think it's better for her to stay in a warmer place, closer, at least, to her family members, although she will not be able to see them while she is in jail.

So, therefore, taking all this information into consideration, we ask the Court to sentence her to the minimum term of imprisonment.

Before I end my argument, Your Honor, I know there is nothing I can do about this. And at the last hearing, I asked that these proceedings were sealed so there was no public or press here in court. And I did that not to protect my client, because she is always in the news. This is a big case. Everybody likes to read about it. But it's because of her daughter. And just because you don't name her in the press doesn't mean everybody knows who they are talking about.

So whenever something is written about Betsian Carrasquillo, and they say, "The victim is the daughter," and they put a big picture of my client there, everybody in the neighborhood knows -- everybody in the school knows who this girl's mother is.

1 So, although you don't put the name there, 2 everybody knows she is the minor, and she is being 3 victimized constantly by this information being in the 4 press. 5 We ask that that is also taken into consideration, if the Court can do something about it to protect her, who 6 is a teenager, who needs to live with this, and she also 7 needs to move away from the trauma. 8 9 That will be all. Thank you. 10 THE COURT: Thank you. 11 Anything else from the Government? 12 MR. MORGAN: No, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: All right. 14 On October 11, 2013, Defendant Betsian Carrasquillo-Penaloza pled guilty to Count One of the 15 16 superseding indictment in Criminal 12-728, charges violating Title 18, United States Code section 2423(a), that is, 17 18 transportation of a minor with the intent to engage in 19 criminal sexual activity, which is a Class A felony. 20 The Court has used the November 1st 2013 Edition 21 of the United States Sentencing Guideline manual to apply 2.2 the now advisory guideline calculations. 23 The quideline for 18 U.S.C. 2423(a) offenses is found in guideline 2G1.3. That section provides that an 24 25 offense involving the transportation of minor to engage in

```
1
       criminal sexual activity has a base offense level of 28.
 2
                 Since the Defendant was the mother of the minor, a
 3
       two level increase is warranted pursuant to guideline
       2G1.3(b)(1)(A).
 4
 5
                 MS. PLAZA-MARTINEZ: I am sorry to interrupt,
       Your Honor, but the Court did not address the Defendant.
 6
 7
                 THE COURT: I am sorry. You are correct. Thank
       you for reminding me.
 8
 9
                 MS. PLAZA-MARTINEZ: Yes, Your Honor.
10
                 THE COURT: Ms. Carrasquillo, is there anything
11
       you would like to state to the Court before I pronounce
12
       sentence? You don't have to, but you may do so.
13
                 THE DEFENDANT: I would like to apologize to you
14
       first, and I ask my daughter to forgive me.
                 I have a lot of faith in God. I have faith that
15
16
       when I leave here, I am going to be the mother that they are
       wishing for.
17
18
                 MS. PLAZA-MARTINEZ: That would be all,
19
       Your Honor.
20
                 THE COURT: Thank you.
21
                 The guideline for 18 U.S.C. 2423(a) offenses is
2.2
       found in guideline 2G1.3. That section provides that an
23
       offense involving the transportation of a minor to engage in
       criminal sexual activity has a base offense level of 28.
24
25
                 Since the Defendant was the mother of the minor, a
```

two level increase is warranted pursuant to guideline

2G1.3(b)(1)(A).

Since the offense involved a commercial sex act, a

two level increase is warranted pursuant to guideline

2G1.3(b)(4).

The Defendant has timely accepted responsibility

for the offense conduct. Therefore, a three level reduction

for the offense conduct. Therefore, a three level reduction is warranted pursuant to guideline sections 3E1.1(a) and (b).

There are no other applicable guideline adjustments.

2.2

Based on a total offense level of 29 and a Criminal History Category of I, the guideline imprisonment range in this particular case, and pursuant to guideline section 5G1.1(b), is 120 months, which is the mandatory minimum sentence provided by the law.

There is a fine range of \$15,000 to \$150,000, plus a supervised release term of not less than five years, up to life.

The Court has reviewed the guideline calculations and finds that the pre-sentence investigation report has adequately applied the guideline computations and that it satisfactorily reflects the components of the offense by considering its nature and circumstances.

The Court has also considered the other sentencing

factors as set forth in 18 U.S.C. 3553(a).

2.2

Ms. Carrasquillo is a 35-year-old female who has four children. She has a seventh grade education and has a history of mental health issues.

She has been addicted to drugs and excessive alcohol consumption in the past.

She had a previous conviction for violating

Title 95 of the Puerto Rico Penal Code, which was reduced to
a lesser charge. It's a case for which she was sentenced to
a probationary term.

She physically attacked Shara M. Pizarro

Carrasquillo using a knife, caused an injury on this
individual's right leg, and she had to be transported to the
hospital. But the Court and the record should reflect that
the Court is not considering that previous case as part of
the sentence in this case.

The interviews conducted by the agents of the individuals who worked at bar La Fuente de Todos helped corroborate that the Defendant had her daughter working in this bar when she was under 14 years old, has informed that the Defendant brought men for her daughter to have sexual encounters in exchange for money, exposing her to be sexually abused, to suffer physical injuries and emotional disturbances.

Although the pre-sentence investigation report

2.2

reports that -- at the bottom of page, the report states that the Defendant chose to look the other way in this matter related to her daughter, but we have the information provided by the psychodiagnostic evaluation performed by Dr. Maria Margarida Julia, who is a neuropsychologist, to the effect that, rather than assuming she looked the other way with respect her daughter, in light of the present charges, the extensive literature regarding victimization indicates that social, emotional, contextual, familiar and psychological limitations resulted in significantly reducing Ms. Carrasquillo's mental and reasoning capacity, distorting her concept of right and wrong, contributing to the condition of the offense.

So the Court distances itself from the statements made in the pre-sentence report concerning the fact that she looked the other way.

The Court, in imposing sentence, needs to acknowledge the mental health side of the victim. And, therefore, as part of the recommendations later on, the Court will recommend that she receive mental health services.

The parties agreed on a sentence of 120 months of imprisonment, which is the minimum term required by law.

The Court finds that the sentence to which the parties agreed, plus the maximum term of supervised release,

2.2

reflects the seriousness of the offense, promotes respect for the law, protects the public from further crimes by Ms. Carrasquillo, and addresses the issues of deterrence and punishment.

Therefore, it is the judgment of this Court that

Ms. Betsian Carrasquillo-Penaloza is committed to the

custody of the Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term

of 120 months.

Upon release from confinement, she shall be placed on supervised release for a term of five years under the following conditions:

You shall not commit another Federal, State or local crime and will observe the standard conditions of supervised release recommended by the Sentencing Commission and adopted by this Court.

You shall not unlawfully possess controlled substances and refrain from possessing firearms, destructive devices and other dangerous weapons.

You shall undergo a sex-offense-specific evaluation and/or participate in a sex offender treatment and/or a mental health treatment program arranged by probation officer.

You shall abide by all rules, requirements and conditions of the sex offender treatment program, including submission to testing, such as the polygraph, Able

2.2

Assessments, visual reaction testing, or any other testing available at the time of your release.

You shall waive your right to confidentiality in any records for mental health assessment and treatment and sign any necessary release form required to obtain the records imposed as a consequence of this judgment to allow the probation officer to review your course of treatment and progress with the treatment provider.

You will be required to contribute to the cost of services rendered by means of co-payment based on your ability to pay or the availability of third-party payments.

You shall be required to submit to an initial polygraph examination and subsequent maintenance testing intervals to be determined by the probation officer to assess in treatment, planning and case monitoring as a means to ensure that you are in compliance with the requirements of your supervision or your treatment program.

You shall participate in an approved inpatient or outpatient mental health treatment program.

The treatment will be determined by the officer, in consultation with the treatment provider, and they can adjust the modality, duration and intensity of the treatment to the risk and needs presented by the offender.

The treatment will permit flexible movement along the continuum of care, that is, outpatient, intensive

2.2

outpatient, residential and hospitalization, provide for timely intervention in response to offender progress or regression.

If medication is ordered by the physician, the offender must comply with the medication regime.

You will contribute to the cost of services rendered by means of co-payment based on your ability to pay or the availability of third-party payments.

You shall refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance and submit to one drug test within 15 days of release, thereafter, submit to random drug testing, not less than three samples during the supervision period and not to exceed 104 samples per year in accordance with the Drug Aftercare Program Policy of the United States Probation Office, as approved by this Court.

If any such samples detect substance abuse, the Defendant shall participate in an inpatient or an outpatient substance abuse treatment program for evaluation and/or treatment as arranged by the probation officer until duly discharged.

You shall provide the probation officer access to any financial information upon request.

You shall not engage in a specific occupation, business or profession bearing a reasonable direct relationship to the conduct constituting this offense.

2.2

Specifically, you shall not work with children under the age of 18 or hold a job that gives you authority over potential victims, gives you access to vulnerable populations or places her near a school or playground.

Any employment must be approved in advance by the probation officer, who will make an assessment of the job placement and set employment restrictions based on the Sex Offender Management Procedures manual.

You shall consent to third-party disclosures, any employer or potential employer.

You shall not reside, be in the company, date or socialize with a child or children below the ages of 18, unless previously approved by the probation officer and after a third-party risk has been duly signed.

You shall not enter, loiter or work within

100 feet of any area or event frequented by people under the
age of 18, including but not limited to schools, daycare
centers, playgrounds, arcades, public swimming pools or
beaches unless approved in advance by the probation officer.

You shall have no personal contact with the victim and/or minors under the age of 18 through mail, letters, telephone communication, audio, visual, computer electronic devices, visit social network sites or third parties unless approved by the probation officer in advance.

The only exception to this condition relies in the

2.2

incidental contact in normal commercial life with minors.

You shall participate in a vocational training and/or job placement program.

You shall not participate in any volunteer activity or be involved in any youth or children's organization or any group that would bring you into close contact with a child or children under the age of 18, unless prior approval from the probation officer.

You may have supervised or chaperoned contact through personal mail, computer, electronic device with the victim, if ordered by the Court.

The visit or contact must be previously approved by the treatment provider and the probation officer.

You shall maintain a suitable residence approved by the probation officer, which complies with all the conditions of supervision and with the residency and movement, restrictions of the jurisdiction where you may reside, work, go to school or allowed to visit.

You shall obtain prior approval from the probation officer prior to changing residences.

And you shall stay at your approved residence every night and will not sleep or stay overnight anywhere else without prior approval of the probation officer.

You shall not have access to the Internet at your place of residence, unless approved by the probation

officer.

2.2

You shall submit to a search of your person, property, house, residence, vehicles, papers, computers, and other electronic communication or data storage devices or media and effects to a search at any time, with or without a warrant, by the probation officer, and if necessary, with the assistance of any law enforcement officer in the regular discharge of their duties, with reasonable suspicion concerning unlawful conduct of a violation of the condition of probation or supervised release.

The probation officer may seize any electronic device, which will be subject to further forensic investigation or analysis.

Failure to submit to such a search and seizure may be grounds for revocation.

And you shall warn any other resident or occupant that the premises may be subject to searches pursuant to this condition.

You shall comply with the requirements of the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act, being 42 U.S. Code section 16901 et sec as directed by the probation officer, the Bureau of Prisons or any State, U.S. territory or Indian Tribe, Sex Offender Registration Agency in which you reside, work, is a student, carry on a vacation or was convicted of a qualifying offense.

2.2

If you do possess a cellular phone, the same shall be restricted to incoming or outgoing calls and voice messaging system. No additional features will be allowed without prior approval of the probation officer.

You shall cooperate in the collection of a DNA sample as directed by the probation officer pursuant to the revised DNA collection requirements and Title 18, United States Code section 3563(a)(9).

You shall not purchase, possess, use or administer any alcohol or frequent any businesses whose primary function is to serve alcoholic beverages.

Having considered your financial condition, a fine is not imposed.

A special monetary assessment in the amount of \$100, however, is imposed as required by law.

At paragraph 17 of your plea agreement you read that if this Court were to sentence you pursuant to the recommendations contained in the plea agreement, that you would waive and permanently surrender your right to appeal the judgment and the sentence in this case.

The Court has just sentenced you to the recommended sentence included in the plea agreement, which was 120 months. Therefore, your waiver becomes effective, and I will not advise you of any right to appeal the judgment and sentence in this case since you have waived the

1 same. 2 Any remaining counts of the indictment are hereby 3 dismissed pursuant to the plea agreement. The Court will also recommend to the Bureau of 4 5 Prisons that at whatever institution is designated to serve her sentence, preferably at Coleman, in the State of 6 7 Florida, it is recommended that Ms. Carrasquillo receive mental health services, psychotherapy in order to deal with 8 9 her history of victimization, incest and domestic violence 10 affecting her interpersonal relationships, parental skills 11 and psychiatric condition. The Court also recommends to the Bureau of Prisons 12 13 that she be allowed to participate in drug and alcohol 14 treatment rehabilitation programs and that, also, she be 15 allowed to participate in educational support to finish her 16 GED, as well as any vocational and/or job placement 17 programs. 18 That is the sentence of the Court. 19 Anything further, Counsel? 20 MS. PLAZA-MARTINEZ: No, Your Honor. 21 MR. MORGAN: Nothing from the United States, 2.2 Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. You may withdraw. 23 24 25 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 2:35 P.M.)

```
1
       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT.)
                                         SS.
 2
       OF PUERTO RICO
                                      )
 3
 4
 5
                           REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
 6
 7
                 I, JOE REYNOSA, do hereby certify that the above
 8
 9
       and foregoing, consisting of the preceding 29 pages,
10
       constitutes a true and accurate transcript of my
11
       stenographic notes and is a full, true and complete
12
       transcript of the proceedings to the best of my ability.
13
                 Dated this 17th day of December 2014.
14
15
                                     S/Joe Reynosa_
                                Joe Reynosa
16
                                Official Court Reporter
                                85 Calle Caribe, Apt 401
17
                                San Juan, PR
                                                00907
                                808-255-4840
18
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
25
```